

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/827,465 | 04/19/2004 | Terry L. Tumer | 0275S-510COB | 2992 |
| 27572 | 7590 08/29/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. | | | CHUKWURAH, NATHANIEL C | |
| P.O. BOX 82 BLOOMFIE | 28 LD HILLS, MI 48303 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | • | | 3721 | <u> </u> |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/29/200 | 6 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/827,465 | TURNER ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Nathaniel C. Chukwurah | 3721 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Jone This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. | s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 23-38 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 23-38 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 August 2005 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the | wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected t | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 6/22/2006.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 23-38 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,729,413. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the application is more broader than the claims of the Patent, for example the application omits the receiving member, and details of the member for retaining the biasing which are non-critical to patentability.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter,

Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

as follows: the housing, frame, cavity for receiving the battery pack, a member and receiving member, a biasing member, motor, an output shaft, an activation member and a mechanism for receiving the battery pack.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 23-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mooty et al. (US 6,656,626) in view of Maeda et al. (US 5,189,570).

With regard to claim 23, the reference of Mooty et al. discloses a power tool housing (102) having a mechanism for ejecting a battery pack (108), comprising: the housing including motor portion (103) and a handle portion (104) extending away from the electric motor portion and a base portion (106) at a distal end of the handle portion away from the motor portion to form a terminus of the power tool housing (102), a frame (115 mounting surface) in the base portion (106); a cavity (114 opening) in the frame for receiving a battery pack (108) at the distal end of the handle portion (104); a member (116, 119) for receiving a member (152,155) on the battery pack (108) to couple the battery pack with the power tool (100); a biasing member (125, 130) in the cavity (114), the biasing member (125, 130) for ejecting (releasable) the battery pack (108) from the receiving member (116, 119); and the battery pack

Art Unit: 3721

(108) received in the receiving member (116, 119) so that the battery pack is in contact with the biasing member (125, 130).

The reference of Mooty et al. discloses the claimed subject matter but lacks the specific teaching of the battery pack secured on the frame, and in contact with the biasing member in a compressed condition.

The reference of Maeda et al. teaches the claimed feature as shown in Figures 9 and 10 wherein the battery pack (70) is secured and in contact with the biasing member (81) in compressed condition, further the battery pack is ejected and released from the frame.

In view of the teaching of the references of Maeda et al., it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the battery pack receiving member of Mooty et al. such that the secured battery pack compresses the biasing member in order to more effectively detach of the battery pack from the frame.

With regard to claim 24, the power tool housing of Mooty et al., includes the cavity (114 opening) defined by a pair of opposing side walls and an end wall adjoining the opposing side walls as shown in Figures 7A and 7B.

With regard to claim 25, the receiving member (114 opening) of power tool housing of Mooty et al. includes a pair of extending and opposing rails on each side wall as shown in Figure 6.

With regard to claim 26, the power tool housing of Mooty et al. includes channels formed adjacent the side walls and between the rails and frame as shown in Figure 6, for receiving mating rails (152, 155) on the battery pack (108).

With regard to claim 27, the power tool housing Mooty et al. includes at least one helical spring (125).

With regard to claim 28, the modified power tool housing of Mooty et al. includes the biasing member extending from an end wall of the frame.

With regard to claim 29, the reference of Mooty et al. discloses a power tool (100), comprising: a battery pack (108); a housing (102), the housing (102) including a motor portion (103), a handle portion (104) adjacent the motor portion (103) and extending away from the motor portion and a base portion (106) at a distal end of the handle portion (104) away from the motor portion (103) forming a terminus of the housing (102), a motor (electric motor) in the housing (102), an output (105) coupled with the motor (electric motor); an activation member (107) for activating the motor (electric motor); a mechanism (securement) on base portion (106) of the housing (102) for receiving a battery pack (108) at the distal end of the handle portion (104) including: a frame (115 mounting surface); a cavity (114 opening) in the frame (115) for receiving a battery pack (108), a member (116, 119) for receiving a member (152,155) on the battery pack (108) to couple the battery pack with the housing (102); a biasing member (125, 130) in the cavity (114 opening), the biasing member (125, 130) for ejecting the battery pack (108) from the housing (102), and the battery pack (108) received in the receiving member (116, 119) so that the battery pack (108) is in contact with the biasing member (125, 130) such that the battery pack(108) is secured on the housing (102).

The reference of Mooty et al. discloses the claimed subject matter but lacks the specific teaching of the battery pack secured on the frame, and in contact with the biasing member in a compressed condition.

Art Unit: 3721

The reference of Maeda et al. teaches the claimed feature as shown in Figures 9 and 10 wherein the battery pack (70) is secured and in contact with the biasing member (81) in compressed condition, further the battery pack is ejected and released from the frame.

In view of the teachings of the reference of Maeda et al., would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the battery pack receiving member of Mooty et al. such that the secured battery pack compresses the biasing member in order to more effectively detach of the battery pack from the frame.

With regard to claim 30, the power tool (100) of Mooty et al., includes the cavity (114 opening) defined by a pair of opposing side walls and an end wall adjoining the opposing side walls as shown in Figures 7A and 7B.

With regard to claim 31, the receiving member (114 opening) of the power tool of Mooty et al. includes a pair of extending and opposing rails on each side wall as shown in Figure 6.

With regard to claim 32, the power tool of Mooty et al. includes channels formed adjacent the side walls and between the rails and frame as shown I Figure 6, for receiving mating rails (152, 155) on the battery pack (108).

With regard to claim 33, the power tool of Mooty et al. includes at least one helical spring (125).

With regard to claim 34, the modified power tool of Mooty et al. includes the biasing member extending from an end wall of the frame.

With regard to claim 35, the battery pack of the power tool of Mooty et al. includes a pair of rails (152, 155) mating in the channels as shown in Figure 6.

Application/Control Number.

Art Unit: 3721

With regard to claim 36, the battery pack (108) rails of the power tool of Mooty et al. includes an upper portion (154 Fig.11), lower portion (158 Fig. 11) and a channel as shown in Figure 11 between the upper and lower portions.

With regard to claim 37, the modified power tool of Mooty et al. includes the at least one helical spring which is capable of partially ejecting the battery pack.

With regard to claim 38, the power tool of Mooty et al. includes as shown in Figures 13A, 13B and 13C, wherein the battery rails slide in the channels and the frame rails suspend the battery pack from the tool housing.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 6/22/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the biasing member of the reference of Mooty neither discloses nor suggests contacting the battery; the biasing member does not eject the battery pack from the tool.

The examiner contends that such feature of the battery pack contacting the biasing member is not novel.

Note: The references of Kessoku, and Bunyea et al., also teach the battery pack contacting and compressing the spring when the battery pack is secured to the frame.

The argument regarding Buchholz is moot in view of the newly cited reference.

Conclusion

7. Refer to attachment for notice of references cited and recommended for consideration based on their disclosure of limitations of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3721

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathaniel C. Chukwurah whose telephone number is (571) 272-4457. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00AM-2:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NC

August 24, 2006.

SAMEH H. TAWFIK PRIMARY EXAMINER

) 41